Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/893,357	DICKMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tracy Dove	1745
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>pending</u>
(1) <u>Tracy Dove</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David D'Asenzo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2004	Time:	V.
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art rejections in view of Edlund et al. (US6,375,906) and 3	95 U.S.C. 112, 2 nd , issues not	of record.
Claims discussed: 1-86		
Prior art documents discussed: Edlund et al. US6,375,906 and Edlund et al. US2002/0119353		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
In Ma		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed restriction requirement of 11/30/04. Examiner stated if the product claims are indicated as containing allowable subject matter, it appears the method claims would be rejoined with the product claims. Applicant pointed out Edlund '906 does not teach both the water stream and the carbon-containing stream are liquid when added to the reservoir and remain liquid when the mixed liquid streams exit the reservoir to be delivered to the fuel processor. Applicant pointed out col. 3, lines 61-col. 4, lines 7 and col. 4, lines 53-57. Examiner agrees that Edlund '906 does not teach this limitation. The claims have been amended to overcome 35 U.S.C. 112 issues..